ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL PRECEIVED

In the Matter of

Price Cap Regulation of Local Exchange Carriers

Rate of Return Sharing and Lower Formula Adjustment CC Docket No. 93-179

Bell Atlantic Reply to Comments on Ameritach's Emergency Stay Motion

In its emergency motion for a stay² of the Commission's Add-back Order,³ Ameritech has made a showing that each factor considered by the Commission in deciding a stay application is satisfied. As such, the requested stay should be granted.

MCI and AT&T, the only parties to object to the Ameritech motion, argue that price cap sharing should import all the

No. of Copies rec'd 0+10
List ABCDE

The Bell Atlantic telephone companies ("Bell Atlantic") are Bell Atlantic-Delaware, Inc.; Bell Atlantic-Maryland, Inc.; Bell Atlantic-New Jersey, Inc.; Bell Atlantic-Pennsylvania, Inc.; Bell Atlantic-Virginia, Inc.; Bell Atlantic-Washington, D.C., Inc.; Bell Atlantic-West Virginia, Inc.

Emergency Motion for Stay Pending Judicial Review (filed April 28, 1995) at 2-4.

Price Cap Regulation of Local Exchange Carriers - Rate of Return Sharing and Lower Formula Adjustment, Report & Order, CC 93-179 (rel. April 14, 1995).

procedures from rate of return.⁴ This is wrong. The basis of these two types of regulations are distinct, and indeed, inconsistent. Moreover, MCI concedes that, at least for the current tariff filing, add-back is based on "past history." Both MCI and AT&T nevertheless offer only unsupported responses to Ameritech's arguments demonstrating that this aspect of the order violates the rule against retroactive ratemaking. As a result, the oppositions to Ameritech's motion provide no basis for denying a stay.

If the Commission were nevertheless to deny Ameritech's motion for a stay of the entire add-back order (which it should not), it should still grant Bell Atlantic and Southwestern Bell's joint petition for a partial stay of this order. This narrower petition requests a stay only of the retroactive application of the add-back requirement in the current year's tariff filing. As demonstrated in the joint petition, this aspect of the order cannot survive

Opposition of MCI Telecommunications Corporation to Emergency Motion for Stay Pending Judicial Review at 5 (filed May 5, 1995) ("MCI Opposition"); AT&T's Opposition To Stay at 3 (filed May 5, 1995).

MCI Opposition at 7.

Joint Petition for a Partial Stay and For Imposition of an Escrow or Accounting Mechanism Pending Judicial Review, CC 94-1 and CC 93-179 (filed May 9, 1995) ("Joint Petition").

appeal, and the factors traditionally considered by the Commission favor preservation of the status quo pending appeal.

Respectfully submitted,

Bell Atlantic By Their Attorneys,

Edward D. Young, III Of Counsel

Michael E. Glover Edward Shakin

1320 North Court House Road Arlington, VA 22201 (703) 974-4864

Dated: May 17, 1995

Joint Petition at 22-27. MCI argues that the Ameritech motion is not in the public interest because MCI's customers would not receive the benefit of any price decreases. In fact, to the extent MCI actually intends to pass any rate decrease on to its customers, granting of a stay should have no impact. MCI could still "account for the anticipated recovery of any sums subject to the accounting order or placed in the escrow account." Joint Petition at 25. Any uncertainty in that recovery by MCI should be unaffected by the identity of the parties that hold the disputed amounts prior to resolution by the Court of Appeals.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing "Bell Atlantic Reply to Comments on Ameritech's Emergency Stay Motion" was served this 17th day of May, 1995 by first class mail, postage prepaid, on the parties on the attached list.

Jaynemarie Lentlie

Edward R. Wholl Joseph Di Bella NYNEX Telephone Companies 120 Bloomingdale Road White Plains, N.Y. 10605

Robert M. Lynch Richard C. Hartgrove Thomas A. Pajda Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. One Bell Center Suite 3520 St. Louis, MO 63101

Richard McKenna, HQE03J36 GTE Service Corporation P.O. Box 152092 Irving, TX 75015-2092 Michael J. Shortley, III Rochester Telephone Corp. 180 South Clinton Ave. Rochester, N.Y. 14646

M. Robert Sutherland
BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.
4300 Southern Bell Center
675 W. Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, GA 30375

Jo Ann Goddard Pacific Telesis 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20004

James T. Hannon
US West Communications
1020 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20036

Gail L. Polivy GTE Service Corp. 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036

Robert J. McKee
Peter H. Jacoby
AT&T Corporation
295 North Maple Avenue
Room 3244JI
Basking Ridge, N.J. 07920

Mary McDermott Linda Kent USTA 900 19th Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20005-2106 Rochelle D. Jones SNET 227 Church Street New Haven, CT 06506-1806 Lawrence R. Sidman
Eric T. Werner
Verner, Lipfert, Bernhard,
McPherson & Hand
901 15th Street, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005-2301

Michael S. Pabian Ameritech 2000 W. Ameritech Center Drive Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025 Frank W. Krogh
Donald J. Elardo
MCI Telecommunications Corp.
1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

ITS, Inc. *
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 246
Washington, D.C. 20554

* BY HAND

Dan Grosh *
Tariff Division
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W. Room 518
Washington, D.C. 20554